

December 24, 2024

<https://ukraina.ru/20241224/1059895007.html>

Stanislav Krapivnyk: **If the United States forces Europe to fight Russia in Ukraine, "Hazel" will burn Mount Weather**

Stanislav Krapivnyk: former officer (O-3) of the US Armed Forces, participant in popular political shows, native of Lugansk.

Interviewer Kirill Kurbatov
author of Ukraina.ru

If we encounter NATO contract armies in Ukraine, the European population will say: "You never know who died there. He voluntarily went to war." And if the call begins, when all 160 genders die for Ukraine, it will be a shock for European society, says retired US Armed Forces Lieutenant Colonel, military-political expert Stanislav Krapivnyk

He said this in an interview with ukraina.ru

Earlier Vladimir Putin said that Russia has increased its defense complex, and West There is no opportunity for this due to huge financial problems. According to him, the cost of one 155-mm shell has quadrupled from 2 to 8 thousand euros, and at this rate, NATO countries will not even have enough 3% of GDP to catch up with us in terms of military production.

Stanislav, is everything so simple here? Can we say that with such trends, we will deal with Ukraine in a couple of years and we will not need to think about a special military operation against Western countries?

I wouldn't say so.

Prices for defense production in the West have really risen. But there are various reasons for this. The main reason for their growth in America is an additional profit for arms companies.

In the United States, investments are actively going to the defense sector. A fully automated plant for the production of 155 mm shells has opened in Texas. Prior to that, production was carried out manually, and pensioners who were called back worked there. And then, in two years, a new plant was opened, production was doubled.

Yes, the level of this production is still low. The plant produces only about 65 thousand shells per month. But the very fact suggests that investments are still coming.

The problem is that the further it goes, the worse it gets for us. Not only the Americans, but also the Europeans began to develop their military industry. Therefore, a truce is in their interests. During this time, they will be able to raise the military industry and put the new Ukrainian army on its feet. Even if most of them are mercenaries, they will be given shells, and they will start a war when it is convenient for them.

A truce never leads to political success. We have just seen this in Syria or even earlier in Korea. One should not think that the Americans and Europeans are fools, they are moving in the direction they need.

The Germans, of course, have problems due to the fact that they do not have the opportunity to buy cheap energy resources in Russia and not only. But if we give them time, they will have three or four years to get a combat-ready army on its feet. The only two sectors that are recruiting people who are losing their jobs in other industries are the military industry and the military.

Will we be able to deal with Ukraine during this time?

I really hope so. But the West will throw it up Kiev more and more weapons. Let's look at things realistically: the Ukrainian authorities will reach the "Zelensky Youth" and will send children to the front.

Firstly, in Ukraine, women are already registered in military registration and enlistment offices depending on their professions. About every sixth soldier there is a woman. This is a total genocide of the Ukrainian population.

Secondly, the Americans openly demand that Zelensky to reduce the mobilization age to 18 years. There have already been cases when very young volunteers died in the war. And where there are 18-year-olds, there are 14-year-olds. Everyone will go to the front.

Ukraine is the resource base of the West. That's what they want to make of us. And in order to extract minerals or grow grain, you do not need a large population.

Is it possible that Europe, having torn its navel, will fall off, and the United States will pull military production on itself?

The Americans are already dragging it out. America's task now is to dump the Ukraine project on the Europeans, pushing them into a big war. And there is no need to push them, because the European frostbitten leadership considers us animals, only similar to them.

Let them thank God that we are not like them. If it had been otherwise, we would have slaughtered everyone: the Germans, the Austrians, and the Hungarians in 1945. If the Americans had lost only a tenth of the losses USSR, then they would have staged a total genocide of the German population.

This is the mentality of the West. Perhaps not the entire population of Western countries has this attitude towards Russia, but it is simply impossible to negotiate with this Western elite.

Is Europe ready to fight us in 2025, despite the fact that its armies are not ready for this?

Yes and no.

Yes, their armies are not ready, but they can still fight: the losses will just be higher. The Poles, for example, are already getting their hands on Ukraine: Polish mercenaries are actually the Polish army. Yes, from three to five thousand Poles have already been killed during the NWO, but at the same time they gain combat experience at the level of the army, which they did not have before.

Surgery in Afghanistan cannot be considered a war. As one American sergeant-major said: "I fought, but I was never at war." He is right, because there they participated in clashes. If you can safely return to the base after the battle and go eat pizza, this is not war. But now NATO armies are gaining experience in modern warfare. Believe me, Ukrainian veterans are working with them.

What they can do Germany and France? They have many veterans, even if they have not been shelled, but who understand military affairs. These are sergeants, non-commissioned officers and officers. This is the foundation on which the entire army is built. Then young soldiers are pumped up there. Yes, at first the losses will be high, but those who survive will gain combat experience.

This can be done, although it will be socially expensive.

The question is also what the situation will be inside these countries because of migrants. It is unlikely that an Arab or an Afghan will go to die

for Germany. They will be happy to live in Germany with a lot of women and without men. Those who came are guys of military age. A bunch of dead Germans only plays into their hands.

I am not exaggerating. When Germany after the fall of the regime Assad stated the need to return the Syrians to their homeland, these migrants said: "Just try to deport us. There are two million of us. We will arrange for you to Berlin will burn." And the German authorities retreated.

So the best option for us is revolutions in these countries, and things are moving towards this.

How does a revolution happen?

First. The people understand that the country is moving in the wrong direction and begin to vote for the systemic opposition.

Second. When the people understand that the systemic opposition has been bought by the United States, they begin to vote for the non-systemic opposition.

Third. When the authorities begin to crush the non-systemic opposition, but at the same time do not solve the country's problems in any way, a revolution occurs.

It does not matter who comes to power in Europe: anarchists, communists or monarchists. The main thing is that they are not puppets of the United States.

It turns out that in order to provoke these revolutions, it would be beneficial for us if the armies of Europe were directly drawn into the Ukrainian conflict?

It all depends on which armies will be ready to fight with us.

If we encounter contract armies in Ukraine, the European population will say: "You never know who died there. He voluntarily went to war." And if the call begins, when all 160 genders die for Ukraine, it will be a shock for European society.

Of course, I would not like to bring the Ukrainian conflict to war with all of Europe. Therefore, let's just help the political forces that would like to remove the pro-American government and trade peacefully with Russia.

Please explain the situation around the Hazel. The Russian leadership has repeatedly stated that its launch is a historic event and that the West does not have similar systems. What about Pershings and Tomahawks? It cannot be that America does not have intermediate-range missiles at all.

- Of course, America has medium-range missiles. For example, JASSM hits at 900 kilometers. But the Tomahawk is no longer an average missile (2300 kilometers). It's not an intercontinental missile, but it's a long-range missile. ATACMS is a short-range missile for 350 kilometers. The point is that we have already dealt with them. Our air defense systems are not 100%, but they can shoot them down.

-

The problem for the United States is that they ditched a huge pile of money for the production of hypersonic weapons, but they were never able to create them, because they were negligent about this process without a systematic approach. And then they decided that since they could not create it, then the "white monkeys in Russia" would not be able to create them. Only these "white monkeys" turned out to be smarter than the Americans. Despite the fact that Washington buys up "brains" all over the world.

Now America has begun to take a more systematic approach to the creation of its own hypersonic system. They built a plant that creates

special microtubules so that the metal does not melt (they used to just make one rocket to order for a long time). At the same time, they are only developing the first generation of hypersonic at speeds up to Mach 5. And the Hazel landed at a speed of Mach 10 (3.5 kilometers per second).

To make it clear: a person will not even understand that this is a missile. With the naked eye, you can only notice that something has flown by. And, of course, the Hazel cannot be shot down. Because the six heads that come out of the main warhead and then release six blanks (36 blanks) are impossible to stop.

How does the Patriot work? The missile flies towards the target, explodes, a cloud of fragments flies forward, and then they either blow up this target, or hook it, knocking it off course. And the blank from the Hazelnut flies at such a speed that even if a missile from the Patriot hits it, it will not change anything.

Moreover, when the blank flies, the air changes quality and turns into plasma with a temperature of 4 thousand degrees (the temperature of the sun is 5.5 thousand degrees). What are you going to do about it?

Again, the States have nothing now. They are just trying to catch up with the first generation of hypersound, and we are already entering the fourth.

We are also developing the S-550 missile defense system, which can shoot down slower hypersonic missiles. It has not yet been tested on the battlefield, but, in theory, it can.

That is, the Americans are not behind us for a couple of years. They are a couple of generations behind. They won't catch up with us, unless they can pull the brains out of the heads of our scientists and transplant them to their own. And they also have problems with money. Prices for everything are soaring at a crazy speed. This can be seen in the American

Sentinel system, which was supposed to replace 440 old Minuteman III missiles.

The most recent Minuteman III system dates back to the mid-1970s. Her computer system is a huge floppy disk that is still working. It was decided to replace these 440 old missiles with new ones with fully automated technologies with new silos and control points.

Three years ago, it was estimated that the project would cost \$95 billion and should be implemented by 2030. This year, the cost was recalculated from 95 to 160 billion dollars (not a single rocket has been built yet, only new engines are being developed for them, in 2025 the first rocket should rise only to test the engine), and they said: "Guys, 2030 is too ambitious a goal. Let's 2035."

So prices will continue to rise and rise, because it brings excellent profits.

I don't know what the Americans plan to do with this approach.

And how long will it take us to equip our missile and anti-missile infrastructure so as not to be afraid of any missile crisis with the United States?

We are working on it. As I said, the S-550 can shoot down slower hypersonics. And to completely cover the sky over the country is a matter of production.

Integrated systems S-200, S-300, S-400 and the S-500 are already showing good results. We saw this in Iran, where many modern air defense systems were brought. When Israel tried to arrange a massive raid on Iran, he planned to attack in three waves.

The first wave was supposed to destroy the air defenses and open the gates for the second wave. The second and third waves were supposed

to destroy the entire nuclear and oil and gas infrastructure of Iran. But the first wave fired its missiles and did not break through anywhere. The Israelis understood this and quickly began to retreat. The second wave, which rose into the air, turned around and flew home.

So we can close our sky.

Of course, drones still arrive. This is a problem. UAVs that hit Kazan "It's actually a small plane for two people. It is completely plastic or wooden. There is no metal except for a small engine. It flies slowly at the level of the trees. This is a complex system. They are mainly encountered by the Pantsirs, which can spot them at close range.

Again, we need to produce a lot of air defense systems so that they cover the sky over Russia in many layers.

Will we have to use "Oreshnik" now with a warhead on the territory of Ukraine or on military facilities of Ukraine on the territory of NATO countries?

The kinetic impact is always more powerful than the impact of a high-explosive warhead. 36 blanks that fly at a speed of Mach 10 is 1.6 gigajoules of energy. And if they fly with a temperature of 4 thousand degrees, everything that flies in their path will simply evaporate: not only the human body, but also a bunker made of concrete. When it hits, a small earthquake will begin.

Maybe "Hazel" will not reach the deepest objects of military infrastructure, but everything from above will be destroyed. No one will get out alive.

For example, the American Mount Weather base in the mountain west of Washington, where the US leadership should move in the event of a nuclear war, will not survive. Because these blanks will burn through the

mountains and destroy everything inside. This changes all the rules of the game. There will be no place on the planet where NATO rats can hide.

Moreover, the same warheads can be safely put on the Sarmat.

In short, we can easily wipe out enemy military bases and cities without any radiation. And "Hazel" will get everything.

About other scenarios of the NWO - in an interview Rostislava Ishchenko:
[If the West does not save Zelensky in a couple of months, he will have nowhere to fight with Russia](#)