A Preliminary AAR Of The SMO

By Not A Military Professional





This AAR flows from the fact, illustrated remorselessly by the action of events, that NATO persist in justifying their existence and point of view when nothing exists to commend — or tolerate — that labor.

Specifically:

- (1) The Zionist Project, who have held the USG captive since NLT 1944 (Bretton Woods), have reached their denouement, universal disgust and revilement, as well as military defeat;
- (2) the USG and the British Government designed NATO to be, and they continue to fantasize themselves as, an offensive instrument for the USG and the Governments of Great Britain, France, and Germany to attack and rule Russia; and finally,
- (3) not as an Empire, a USSR, or a Federation has Russia designed to attack and rule The USofA or The West Eurasian Peninsula (Europe).

This raises the question, What **are** proper, say, US American, German, French, Mongolian, Indonesian, etc. grand national strategic objective and doctrine in the poly-centric conditions of modern political-economic-military (pol-eco-mil) geopolitics and statecraft? ¹



Margarita Simonyan

This is a *top-levels* sort of AAR by an elderly US American Theologian who earned a living and retirement as a large-property public transit operator (big city bus driver) and whose life's work has been to study what interests him with unrelenting scrutiny until its truth stands revealed. ²

A well-informed Russian preliminary AAR on the SMO — CBO in Russian, специальная военная операция (spetsial'naya voyennaya operatsiya) — is here. 3

The Russian SMO in 404, what formerly was The Ukraine — *Ukraine* means Borderland (of Russia) — is caused by NATO, who are from conception an agent of offensive force moving ever eastward as *per* their ancestors Charles the Great, Charles XII of Sweden, Napoleone di Buonaparte, Adolph Hitler, and in 1945, not a few defeated Wehrmacht Officers as well as gloating US American Officers, financiers, lawyers, industrialists, and civilian USG officials.

NATO officials are not serious about anything. **EXCEPT CRIME!** Theirs, they do so love it.



NATO TOEs, FMs, and concepts for Operational Art are lethally obsolete. They are said to justify intent to conquer and tear up Russia — an *enemy* in the minds only of Zionists — even though they barely suffice to rattle those not seriously able to shoot back — Panama, Granada, Serbia, Libya, Iraq, Venezuela, Brazil, Philippines, Mexico, Argentina, Chile, Bangladesh, Niger, Chad, Canada, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

Ported to fight a competent enemy, ⁴ NATO fighting systems visit annihilation on forces who use them.

NATO's recent movement in the Kursk, Belgorod, and Bryansk directions illustrate the phenomenon. After this misandry, planned by NATO officials and executed by *de facto* NATO troops, Russia will now *negotiate* — in the sense of

dictate — the time and place of The Ukraine's 404's unconditional surrender to Russia. Or perhaps it will be **NATO's** unconditional surrender to Russia. ⁵

Modern national armed forces enjoy unity of command under a General Staff under a Chief of Staff of The General Staff.

This Officer is competent in military-industrial learning and arts. He is authorized by national political authority to design the nation's military **and defense industry** to protect the nation's sovereign freedom. He answers to the Defense Secretary / Minister and the Commander-in-Chief.

Modern armed forces operate, moreover, as a fully net-centric C4ISR-Strike Complex — Russian RUK-ROC — which is comprehensive and integrated across the five domains of life and war — Space Air Fires-Intel Sea Land. This Complex resolves uncertainties at lightning speed. ⁶

NATO has none of this. Yet they cling to a fantasy of their own invincibility as an old woman clings to her vanity.

Vanity is lethal. Lila Kedrova made at least two movies illustrating the phenomenon, Zorba The Greek and Torn Curtain.

NATO TOEs depend on members' spiritual, moral, political, educational, and engineering capabilities. For decades and a variety of crackpot reasons, NATO officials deform and diminish these strengths in their own and other nations. Now, NATO officials officiate in states whose conditions of state make them unable of execute on their officially stated geopolitical ambitions. ⁷

NATO grand strategy has not kept up with radical developments in the global balance of power. These developments proceed in parallel with the founding five principles of the Non-Aligned Movement, principles which establish non-

bloc, mutual-benefit, and inter-nation cooperation as the gold standard of national grand strategic objective and doctrine to be executed in daily statecraft.

On the contrary, NATO grand strategy, consistent with The Zionist Project, essays to suppress these developments and / or to introduce pol-eco-mil backfires against them.

NATO lacks logistics to arrive as and support a decisive force at a line of battle, actual or potential, anywhere on the planet **without** having to cross oceans teeming with potentially hostile surface and sub-surface platforms endowed with long-range precision fires-intel munitions. ⁸

NATO cannot assemble / pre-position serious strike or defense forces, with adequate RUK-ROC, ⁹ anywhere on the planet without being detected and struck **before** they reach operational readiness.

NATO cannot defend against munitions delivered on vehicles capable of hypersonic speeds. NATO cannot match or exceed industrial munitions—making capabilities of Russia or China alone much less of Russia, China, Iran, North Korea — and a **high number** of other countries — combined. The nations see this now, all of them. NATO's armor, aviation, EW, AD, and SEAD platforms are lethal to their users in 21st Century combat conditions.

NATO ISR is asymmetrically competent as well as minimally and chaotically integrated. Member states tolerate competition for funding among their military branches and between those and their intelligence agencies. Their C4 is not integrated. Their communications are not integrated. Their TOEs, FMs, and concepts for Operational Art are not integrated. Their surveillance-fires complexes are neither cross-platform friendly nor competent in the modern EW-saturated battlespace. ¹⁰

NATO's accession of creators, assessors, users, and supply chains for equipment and personnel able to conduct modern offensive and defense warfare is neither rational nor taken seriously. ¹¹

NATO is an obsolete, dangerous, and radically incompetent agglomeration of pol-eco-mil fantasy posing as an humanitarian-based protection organization while presenting as an addict of hollow effrontery and worse.

NATO **Data Sets** ¹² regarding their own as well as Russian strategic, operational, and tactical doctrines — and those regarding their equipment, bureaucracy, personnel, spiritual and cultural foundations, concepts for civilian and military Operational Art, and the ordinary realities of combat operations — are incomplete, inaccurate, ill-informed (often deliberately) stuffed with irrelevant (ideological) *a priori*, and insufficiently scrutinized / re-scrutinized to facilitate calculations of required force. Inductive logic reveals that NATO officials focus on what they want to happen and ignore what can happen and what does happen.

- NATO TOEs are weak against the fires-intel of Russian combat formations, munitions, and the platforms which deliver them. NATO force design contemplates far less capable enemies than Russia is today. **This makes**NATO nuclear-biased.
- Short of that insanity, NATO have only terrorism killing civilians,
 destroying their facilities as their go-to Wunderwaffe. And that can't win
 a thing.
- NATO assume from fantasy that their FMs can guide their combat, combat support, and combat service support formations to dominate the nations altogether. They ask not WHY they SHOULD dominate the nations altogether. 13
- NATO concepts for Strategic Planning and Operational Arts did not, in the end, work for Erich von Manstein, who formally gave NATO his as theirs.

And still those concepts guide at Haren, Brussels: take Russia and annihilate Russians. von Manstein's concepts cannot work now for Antonio Aguto. ¹⁴ Fools attack Russia. Intelligent men correlate with Russia and cooperate. ¹⁵

• NATO TOEs, FMs, and concepts for Strategic Planning and Operational Arts descend from those of The German Army of the 18th, 19th, and 20th Centuries. The German Army of the 20th Century lost two wars using these concepts, even as updated. Yet, NATO turned to Officers ¹⁶ of The German Wehrmacht, ¹⁷ Combat and Intelligence Officers, to work up NATO's mission, strategy, Order of Battle, and concepts for Strategic Planning and Operational Art.

To this day, US armed forces — even when imagining themselves as a power they are not, namely, a total, integrated US Joint Force — yet mimic the battle doctrines and meta-concepts of The German Wehrmacht who **lost** a major war to The [Soviet-Russian] Red Army, who in turn, are still reviled in *The* West ¹⁸ as despicable Slavic slobs.

NATO's education system for military professionals may as well not exist.

- It's feedstocks in decisive numbers do not meet spiritual, moral, physical, academic, or leadership standards required of 21st Century military practitioners, who must plan and conduct rational military operations combat and non-combat in all manner of real conditions of real life, and now especially within the **global electronic envelope**.
- It's product is alienated from loyalty to their Motherland and countrymen as well as from sympathy for others in their Motherlands.
 - They are contemptuous of fellow humankind.
 - Their motivating emotions are selfish, self-aggrandizing, and suicidal.

- They are uncultured, ill-disciplined, mouthy, insolent, crude, rude, licentious, and ignorant even as regards essentials of their profession.
 Their ignorance of spiritual excellence is unfathomable.
- They seek the approval of bureaucratic influencers and sycophants rather than those competencies required of military professionals.
- Nor is competence expected of them, but rather, ideological conformity to the narrowest of bigotries: sex, drugs, party, race, gender, class... everything EXCEPT a spouse of the opposite gender, children conceived with them and made strong by love, and the profession at hand.


NATO officials suppress self-scrutiny and truth-telling. They want bias

confirmation, not intelligence. They believe their own slogans. Such behavior, of course, indicates late-stage travel down annihilation alley.

So Again: What are proper US American grand national strategic objective and doctrine for the poly-centric conditions of modern geopolitics and statecraft?

Message David Graham

To Leave A Donation



Margarita Simonyan

- The mental wheels are starting to turn again, at least in Rhode Island:
 US Containment Strategy Against Russia Failing Amid Emergence of Multipolar World
- 2 In Vedic parlance, this activity is known as Jnana Yoga.
- <u>3</u> See also <u>Medvedev</u> on miliary science today.
- 4 Russia, China, India, Iran, Vietnam, Indonesia, Brazil, North Korea.
- 5 If Ukraine is 404, from whom would Russia take an unconditional surrender of that whatever it is? Would it not be necessary diplomatically as well as militarily and financially, in fact, for Russia to receive unconditional surrender from NATO?
 - Meanwhile, The Great African Expedition is more indication of the emergence of a radically new and structurally unique global balance of power.
- 6 For example, simultaneous calculations of multiple target coordinates, firing solutions, times-to-target, continuous real-time visualization of OODA Loops, battle-space developments, and alerts to second and third plus order consequences and effects.
 - This C4ISR-Strike Complex is where the Blitzkrieg Concept has gone modernly. Formerly at the speed-to-distance ratio of **motorized vehicles**, now Blitzkrieg is at the speed-to-distance ratio of **electricity**. Here is your **actual** *global warming*. It's in the global electrical envelope, which includes the human nervous system.
- And they do not see that they do not. Nor do they care to see it.
- 8 The USofA are an **island power** relative to all nations off the American Continent. Russia is a **continental power** relative to all nations on the Eurasian Continent.
 - Off their own Continent, The USofA can mount expeditionary force only. They cannot project power off their Continent except very briefly for punitive purposes and then neither persistently nor lastingly.

Whole Carrier Strike Groups (CSGs) can be sunk in 10 minutes anywhere on the planet and from outside the strike capability of those CSGs.

- 9 Surveillance-Strike Complex / Surveillance-Fire Complex
- <u>10</u> Information is one thing. Resolution of uncertainties and calculation of firing solutions are quite another.
- 11 For private profits, yes, for national security, not at all.
- <u>12</u> Bucky Fuller called these *Minmax Famfacs* = Minimum/Maximum Family Of Prime Variable Factors.
- 13 Dominating the nations is the core of The Zionist Project.
- 14 And clearly do not.
- 15 Russia, as von Bismarck observed, is slow to saddle but quick to ride.
- 16 E.G., von Manstein, Gehlen.
- 17 The German Joint Arms Force during Germany's Third Reich.
- 18 The West is a term of abuse used by Zionists to abort the Euro-American cultural base comprising The Latin Church as well as the Russian and some Slavic, Turkic, Mongolian, and other East Asian cultural bases comprising The Orthodox Church.
 - ← Previous

Comments



Write a comment...

© 2024 The Rev. David R. Graham \cdot <u>Privacy</u> \cdot <u>Terms</u> \cdot <u>Collection notice</u> <u>Substack</u> is the home for great culture